## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| KROPKOW           | SKI, e  | t al      |          |           | *                                |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
|-------------------|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Plaintiffs        |   |           |          |           | * Ca                             | * Case No.: 1:97-cv-00607 |           |                  |           |        |  |
| v.                |   |           |          |           | *                                |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
| AC&S, INC., et al |   |           |          |           |                                  |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
| Defendants        |   |           |          |           |                                  |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
| *                 | *   | *         | *        | *         | *                                | *                         | *         | *                | *         | *      |  |
| ORDER             | R WIT   | UN        | ITED S   | STATES    | PPEARAN<br>S DISTRIC<br>ICT OF M | CT COU                    | RT        | LF OF I          | N THE     | i<br>• |  |
| 1.                | Whi   | teford, T | Saylor & | & Presto  | n, L.L.P. (V                     | Whiteford                 | l, Taylo  | or & Pre         | ston), ar | ıd     |  |
| its respective    | e attorn  | neys, and | Louis    | G. Close  | e, Jr., have                     | recited a                 | dequate   | reasons          | to strik  | e      |  |
| their appeara     | ance, aı  | nd comp   | lied wi  | th Local  | Rule 101 i                       | n moving                  | g to stri | ke their         | appeara   | nce;   |  |
| and,              |   |           |          |           |                                  |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
| 2.                | Whiteford, Taylor & Preston and Louis G. Close, Jr., has given adequate |           |          |           |                                  |                           |           |                  |           |        |  |
| notice of its     | motion  | , includi | ng to tl | ne defen  | dant ACan                        | dS, Inc.                  |           |                  |           |        |  |
| THE               | REFO  | RE, it is | hereby   | ordered   | this da                          | y of                      |           | , 20             | 006, tha  | t the  |  |
| motion is gra     | anted a   | nd the ap | ppearar  | ices of B | 8. Ford Dav                      | ris, Gardr                | ner M. I  | Ouvall, <b>'</b> | Whitefo   | rd,    |  |
| Taylor & Pro      | eston L   | .L.P. an  | y Louis  | G. Clos   | se, Jr., are h                   | ereby str                 | icken.    |                  |           |        |  |
|                   |   |           |          |           | Ē                                | District Ju               | dge       |                  |           |        |  |